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| Regarding: Mathematics Mblduld ${ }^{\text {School Acceleration Analys }}$ |  |
| The purpose of this communication is to provide the Board information regarding the middle school math course sequence for Fresno Unified students as well as an overview of student performance data for both Fresno Unified and SanFrancisco Unified. San Francisco Unified has been highlighted recently for its secondary math course sequence, which prompted a request to analyze their student performance data. |  |
| Prior to the 2015/16 school year, the majority of Fresno Unified grade math (pre-algebra) and Algebra 1 in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade. The cour new standards and recommendations of the math framework math course sequence for the majority of students is Math 7 These courses offer grade level math content to ensure a s percentage of students, an accelerated course sequence is app course sequence take $7^{\text {th }}$ grade Accelerated Math, then, if succe in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade. Approximately $23 \%$ of $7^{\text {th }}$ grade students and accelerated sequence, completing Algebra 1 in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade. For $9^{\text {th }}$ grade and is considered the grade level course for that grade. based on a combination of multiple criteria, including test previous course, and grades. | tudents in middle school took $7^{\text {th }}$ sequence changed based on the current district middle school grade and Math 8 for $8^{\text {th }}$ grade. math foundation. For a small ate. Students in the accelerated al, take Middle School Algebra of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students are in the of students, Algebra I is taken in udents are placed in the courses , successful completion of the |
| San Francisco Unified School District adopted a policy whic all students, followed by Geometry, and then Algebra 2. Stude to take a compression course that combines Algebra 2 and Pre two math courses during $9^{\text {th }}$ or $10^{\text {th }}$ grade; or take a summer $g$ grades. Fresno Unified students wishing to accelerate in compression course that combines Algebra 2 and Precalculus they may have access to other advanced math courses their Unified students do not have to take summer math courses high school in order to accelerate, allowing their schedules to | ays Algebra I until $9^{\text {th }}$ grade for wishing to accelerate may choose ulus in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade; choose to take etry course between $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ school may choose to take a $10^{\text {th }}$ grade or $11^{\text {th }}$ grade so that ior and/or senior years. Fresno uble up on math courses during ss impacted. |
| When completing an analysis of data for both Fresno Unified a outcome on SBAC results does not show significant profic sequence (see attached). Historically, San Francisco Unifie performance on the SBAC in mathematics, and the proficienc they have implemented the Algebra 1 policy. Slide 9 of the results for $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students who were the first cohort of stud | an Francisco Unified, the overall changes as a result of either as overall significantly higher ange has been incremental since set shows the 2017/18 SBAC that were part of the Algebra 1 |
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policy in San Francisco Unified. The overall change for $11^{\text {th }}$ grade performance from 2014/15 to 2017/18 is $0 \%$.

Fresno Unified's proficiency is also represented in slide 9 , and the overall change for $11^{\text {th }}$ grade performance from $2014 / 15$ to $2017 / 18$ is $0 \%$.

Increasing math achievement for students in Fresno Unified continues to be the focus of improvement efforts with coaching, professional learning, additional resources, and external expertise partners. Changing the course sequence is not part of these planned efforts, as the district comparison data does not show significant proficiency changes when following a course sequence different than our current one.

If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Lindsay Sanders at 457-3471 or Kim Mecum at 457-3731.


## ACCELERATED MATH IN MIDDLE SCHOOL
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## NUMBER OF CURRENT STUDENTS WHO ARE ENROLLED IN AN ACCELERATED MATH COURSE: BY SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, $7^{\text {TH }} \& 8^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE

|  | 7 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  | 8 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | (

## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF CURRENT STUDENTS WHO ARE ENROLLED IN

 AN ACCELERATED MATH COURSE: BY SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, $7^{\text {TH }} \& 8^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE

## PERCENT OF STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED STANDARDS ON SBAC MATH: BY SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, $7^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE, 20I4/I5-20I7/I8

| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  | 2017/18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SES | Non-SES | All | SES | Non-SES | All | SES | Non-SES | All | SES | Non-SES | All |
| SFUSD | 39\% | 72\% | 49\% | 41\% | 69\% | 51\% | 40\% | 67\% | 53\% | 41\% | 65\% | 51\% |
| FUSD | 14\% | 30\% | 16\% | 15\% | 41\% | 19\% | 17\% | 46\% | 19\% | 18\% | 49\% | 21\% |

## PERCENT CHANGE OF STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED STANDARDS ON SBAC MATH: $7^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE, 2014/I5-20I7/I8



## PERCENT OF STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED STANDARDS ON SBAC MATH: BY SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, $8^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE, 20I4/I5-20I7/I8

| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  | $2017 / 18$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SED | NonSED | All | SED | NonSED | All | SED | NonSED | All | SED | NonSED | All |
| SFUSD | 39\% | 67\% | 47\% | 40\% | 65\% | 48\% | 40\% | 65\% | 51\% | 42\% | 67\% | 53\% |
| FUSD | 13\% | 31\% | 15\% | 14\% | 33\% | 17\% | 15\% | 41\% | 18\% | 18\% | 43\% | 20\% |

## PERCENT CHANGE OF STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED STANDARDS ON SBAC MATH: $8^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE, 2014/I5-2017/I8



## PERCENT OF STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED STANDARDS ON SBAC MATH: BY SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, I I ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE, 2014/I5-20I7/I8

| $1]^{\text {th }}$ | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  | 2017/18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SES | Non-SES | All | SES | Non-SES | All | SES | Non-SES | All | SES | Non-SES | All |
| SFUSD | 45\% | 60\% | 50\% | 46\% | 62\% | 53\% | 50\% | 58\% | 54\% | 44\% | 57\% | 50\% |
| FUSD | 13\% | 21\% | 15\% | 15\% | 28\% | 18\% | 13\% | 28\% | 16\% | 12\% | 32\% | 15\% |

## PERCENT CHANGE OF STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED STANDARDS ON SBAC MATH: IITH GRADE, 2014/I5-20I7/I8
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## ACADEMIC PROGRESS - QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES

## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY GRADE LEVEL: GRADES 2ND TO 6 $^{\text {TH }}$, 20I7-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in ELA Courses 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade


Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses $2^{\text {nd }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY GRADE LEVEL: GRADES 7 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ TO $8^{\text {TH }}, 2017$-I 8 AND 2018-I9



Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses $7^{\text {th }}$ to 8 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY GRADE LEVEL: GRADES 9TH TO I2TH, 2017-I8 AND 2018-19

Quarter 3 Grades in ELA Courses $9^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ Grade


Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses $9^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ Grade


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY ENGLISH LEARNER STATUS, 20I7-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in ELA Courses by EL Status


Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses by EL Status


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY DISABILITY STATUS, 2017-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in ELA Courses by Disability Status



## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY DISABILITY STATUS, 20I7-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses by Disability Status


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY ETHNICITY/RACE, 20I7-I8 AND 2018-I9



## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY ETHNICITY/RACE, 20I7-I8 AND 2018-I9



## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY STUDENT GROUP, 2017-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in ELA Courses by Student Group


Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses by Student Group


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN ELA COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY REGION, 20I7-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in ELA Courses by Region


## QUARTER 3 LETTER GRADES IN MATH COURSES: PERCENT BREAKDOWN A-F BY REGION, 2017-I8 AND 2018-I9

Quarter 3 Grades in Math Courses by Region


## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS BASED ONTHE NUMBER OF D'S OR F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY GRADE LEVEL: 20I8/I9YTD



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS BASED ON THE NUMBER OF D'S OR F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY ETHNIC GROUP: 20I8/I9YTD



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS BASED ONTHE NUMBER OF D'S OR F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY POPULATION GROUP: 20I8/I9YTD



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS BASED ONTHE NUMBER OF D'S OR F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY REGION: 20I8/I9YTD



## ACADEMIC PROGRESS - ENGLISH LEARNER

 REDESIGNATION RATES CYCLE I, 2 \& 3
## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION GUIDELINES

- ELPAC score of 4 overall
- ELA Assessment (Passing at least one)
- BAS $I^{\text {st }}$ Grade
- DRP for $2^{\text {nd }}-6^{\text {th }}$ Grade
- District Interim Assessments $I^{\text {st }}-12^{\text {th }}$ Grade
- CAASPP $4^{\text {th }}-9^{\text {th }}$ Grade, $12^{\text {th }}$ Grade (Using last year's CAASPP results)
- PSAT $8^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ Grade
- Teacher evaluation
- Parent consultation


## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, 2018-19 (CYCLE I, 2 \& 3)



Cycle I: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC and SBAC in 2017/18. This is the first opportunity for redesigantion and typically, we see a larger rate due to the larger pool of students who recently passed the ELPAC.
Cycle 2: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 2 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 2018/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.
Cycle 3: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I or cycle 2. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 3 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 20/8/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.

## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, BY GRADE LEVEL: GRADES K TO 6TH, 2018-I9 (CYCLE I, 2 \& 3)



[^0]
## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, BY GRADE LEVEL: GRADES $7^{\text {TH }}$ TO $8^{\text {TH }}, 2018$-I9 (CYCLE I, 2 \& 3)



[^1]
## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, BY GRADE LEVEL: GRADES 9TH TO I2 ${ }^{\text {TH }}, 2018$-I 9 (CYCLE I, 2 \& 3)



Cycle I: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC and SBAC in 2017/18. This is the first opportunity for redesigantion and typically, we see a larger rate due to the larger pool of students who recently passed the ELPAC.
Cycle 2: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 2 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 2018/19, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.
Cycle 3: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I or cycle 2. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 3 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 20।8/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.

## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, BY LENGTH AS AN ENGLISH LEARNER, 20I8-I9 (CYCLE I, 2 \& 3)



[^2]
## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, BY REGION, 20I8-I9 (CYCLE I, 2 \& 3)



[^3]
## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - PERCENT REDESIGNATED, BY EL STATUS FOR THE THIRD CYCLE OF 2018-I9



On-Track: Expected redesignation year has not passed and they have scored greater than or equal to their expected score in the most recent ELPAC assessment.
At-Risk: Student has missed their year to redesignate goal set by FUSD, but has not been an EL for more than five years.
LTEL: Student has been an EL for more than five years and has yet to redesignate.

## ENGLISH LEARNER REDESIGNATION - COMPARISON OF TOTAL NUMBER OF LONG-TERM ENGLISH LEARNERS BEFORE AND AFTER THETHIRD CYCLE OF 2018-19




[^0]:    Cycle I: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC and SBAC in 2017/18. This is the first opportunity for redesigantion and typically, we see a larger rate due to the larger pool of students who recently passed the ELPAC.
    Cycle 2: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 2 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 2018/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.
    Cycle 3: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I or cycle 2. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 3 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 20।8/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.

[^1]:    Cycle I: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC and SBAC in 2017/18. This is the first opportunity for redesigantion and typically, we see a larger rate due to the larger pool of students who recently passed the ELPAC.
    Cycle 2: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 2 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 2018/19, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.
    Cycle 3: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I or cycle 2. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 3 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 20/8/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.

[^2]:    Cycle 1: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC and SBAC in 2017/18. This is the first opportunity for redesigantion and typically, we see a larger rate due to the larger pool of students who recently passed the ELPAC.
    Cycle 2: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 2 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 2018/19, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.
    Cycle 3: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I or cycle 2. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 3 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 20।8/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.

[^3]:    Cycle 1: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC and SBAC in 2017/18. This is the first opportunity for redesigantion and typically, we see a larger rate due to the larger pool of students who recently passed the ELPAC.
    Cycle 2: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 2 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 2018/19, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.
    Cycle 3: This represents the percentage of students who passed the ELPAC in $2017 / 18$ but did not redesignate during cycle I or cycle 2. Students must have met an ELA assessment (i.e. BAS I, DRP, Interim I and PSAT) during cycle 3 to be eligible for redesignation. Students who did not pass the ELPAC have to wait until May of 20/8/I9, so we expect the pool of eligible students to remain low.

